Out of the Ordinary: Finding Hidden Threats by Analyzing Unusual Behavior

A New Approach to "Connecting the Dots" in Intelligence

Presentation to the Defense Acquisition Performance Assessment Panel August 23, 2005


Introduction

- Today's presentation is on the "Atypical Signal Analysis and Processing" (ASAP) Schema, and analytic architecture for—
 - Identifying "unusual" observations worth further attention
 - E.g, violations of the status quo
 - Relating these observations to other data
 - Generating and testing hypotheses about these observations
- Purpose of research was to identify and characterize terrorist threats, but basic approach may have a number of other applications
 - Asked to speak about applications for "tracking and identifying problem acquisition personnel early in the process
- From a RAND IR&D project results documented Out of the Ordinary: Finding Hidden Threats by Analyzing Unusual Behavior (RAND monograph 126-RC)
 - Focuses primarily on top-level architecture, with some discussion of data management and analysis algorithms


Motivation for "Out of the Ordinary" Research

- Immediate motivation was to improve ability to "connect the dots" pieces of information that could be combined to produce understanding of a threat
- Focus on intelligence and homeland security data, especially on reports
 - Others were working on large-scale data mining; taking advantage of intelligence / HLS reports was unique opportunity
 - Also wanted to avoid privacy / legal issues
 - For acquisition, equivalent would be steady stream of reports coming out of PM and acquisition offices, plus any reported complaints
- Additional focus look for "anti-patterns"
 - Knew we wanted to avoid "fighting the last war problem" (I.e. just looking for patterns matching previous attacks)
 - Also inspired by how proactive, successful problem solvers have "connected the dots" in the past...

Proactive, Successful Problem Solvers Study the Atypical to "Connect the Dots"




Top-Level Process and Current Focus Area for the ASAP Schema



Improve awareness and collaboration for networks of intelligence collectors and analysts at all levels— national, strategic, operational and tactical


The ASAP Model for Automated Support to Counter-Terrorism Analysis



Major Features of the ASAP Architecture

- Focuses on information already collected from intelligence and other government monitoring systems
 - In the acquisition context, this would be acquisition reports and any incoming complaints
- Identifies phenomena that are "out of the ordinary," even if they do not match previous patterns for suspicious behavior
- Employs rules allowing data to be analyzed in the context of existing knowledge
- Employs rules that are dynamic significant developments automatically increase the priority of related information
- Explicitly deals with uncertainty by formulating and testing competing hypotheses
- Initiates collaboration of personnel needed to "connect the dots"
 - Analysts' reviews are considered data just as much as source information

An Example Scenario: "Something Bad Happened on November 9th"



Applying the ASAP Schema to the 11/9 Scenario



Import / Export Agent

- •Why did 24 tuna boats arrive in Sydney rather than Singapore?
- •When the boats did get to Singapore, why are there only 18?
- •Why is the tuna-boat shipping company pressuring local officials?

Linking Agent

 Same Panamanian company is generating numerous "out of the ordinary" hits in multiple countries

9/8: Panamanian company applies for 18 tuna boat berths

10/4: Panamanian company reported pressuring local officials for special berthing privileges w/o required paperwork

10/10: Panamanian company leases warehouse in Philadelphia wharf

10/11: Routine inspection identifies tuna boats off-loading heavy, odd-smelling crates (claim: Panamanian company moving teakwood for furniture manufacturing)

11/5: Tuna boat captains found to have no prior fishing, furniture, or shipping related connections; two captains previously worked for Seattle tuna-boat building firm.

in Sydney

Commerce Agent

- •Why are there sudden increases in tuna boat traffic in Sydney, Singapore, and Philadelphia without matching increases in demand (tuna or furniture)?
- •Teakwood shipped in odd containers via tuna boats has not been seen.
- •Why is the Panamanian company hiring captains without experience?



8/4: 24 tuna boats arrive

10/2: Hiring and work oddities reported w/ respect to tuna boats owned by a Panamanian company



What Can Be Done Now To Bring About ASAP Schema-Type Benefits? (1)

Basic Collaboration Support:

- Core functionality improve collaboration
 - Create and distribute 2-4 page profiles of ordinary behavior, and examples of "significant" violations
 - Tight initial focus on training
 - Update regularly "be on the look out for…"
 - Create a family of posting boards for the Community
 - Have unmoderated boards for posting "curious" or "unusual" information
 - Have moderated board for posting of "key" information on various potential problems
 - Have weblogs / wikis for presenting narratives
- Extended functionality support analysis of posting boards
 - Allow Google-like search engines for posts
 - Use simple heuristics on posts, looking for connections and patterns across the posted messages

R

What Can Be Done Now To Bring About ASAP Schema-Type Benefits? (2)

Analysis Support:

- Focus on evolutionary build-out of analysis tools, starting with existing products
- Core functionality create and manage "the dots":
 - Network database / analysis tool that stores data in a replicationfriendly and update-friendly format
 - Peer-to-peer system for performing the distribution and updating
 - Ideally, includes project management / workflow tools as well
- Extended functionality automatically populate and analyze "the dots":
 - Free text / data to structured data tool
 - Advanced link analysis tool
 - Hypothesis generation tools (pattern-matching and pattern-violation agents)
 - Hypothesis tracking and testing tools

How Might The ASAP Schema Be Applied to Acquisition?


- Generate simple models of the "status quo" for acquisition programs and acquisition jobs
 - Describe major steps that the program or person performing the job should go through, and what each step should look like
 - Acquisition Culture, Successful Navy Program Implementations discussions may be useful here
 - Exercise: "this quarter, the program / person does nothing problematic. What kind of things occur?"
- ID and prioritize diagnostic measures and metrics that can determine whether a program or acquisition job is "likely staying on track"
- Set up near-term implementation described on previous slides
 - Start by distributing models and diagnostic metrics, identifying key personnel who should be involved in the "ASAP for Acquisition" network, and identifying key sources of acquisition data
- Begin building a library of decision rules on how to flag information for further review, and what sorts of hypotheses to generate

Backup Slides


Additional Research Observations

- Numerous tools carrying out specific tasks to "connect the dots" already exist
 - Text to structured data tools
 - Network analysis tools / link analysis tools
 - Data mining tools
 - Collaboration / groupware suites
- What was missing was putting them together into a single architecture
 - Led to focus on developing ASAP architectural schema, rather than specific algorithms
- What is also needed is a basic suite of analysis and collaboration tools that can be deployed quickly and cheaply, and can be customized and tailored to the situation at hand
- "Artificial intelligence" research on developing models of the status quo, and developing algorithms to find outliers, also needed

What Might a Near-Term ASAP Network Look Like? (Single Domain)



What Systems Might Be Used?



What Might a Research Plan for ASAP Look Like?

- Phase 1: Develop a detailed, multi-stage architectural plan for the ASAP system, and a testing scenario
 - Architectural plan to include detection and connection logic, control logic, and managerial and organizational guidelines
 - Will work closely with analysts, field agents to develop
 - Will seek to incorporate existing technologies
- Phase 2: Develop design specifications for detector, processing, linking, and hypothesis agents
 - Includes objects, methods, algorithm pseudocode
- Phase 3: Develop a prototype system
 - Includes simple examples of intercepting data, using contextual rules to detect "dots", using relationship agents to link the dots, using pattern-matching / deviation algorithms to generate hypotheses, testing hypotheses
 - Also includes feedback-based control